Foreign Policy Journal Is Begun

By Murrey Marder Washington Post Staff Writer

A new quarterly magazine under the seats of U.S. strategists is now being published under the prosaic title, Foreign Policy.

What the journal lacks in a racy name to mark it off from the stolid establishment publication, Foreign Affairs, it aspires to compensate for in format an content. Foreign

vantage of the narrow size isthat it can slip into a pocket like a secreted pornographic novel. But there the similarity ends.

The first issue of Foreign Policy could provoke a grumpy "harrumph" or two from the chairs of Washington's staid Metropolitan Club. But it will hardly exhibarate the New Left. Somewhere in between is the market the editors are aiming at.

Co-editors of the journal are Samuel .P. Huntington, chairman of the Department of Government at Harvard, and Warren Demian Manshel, partner in a Wall Street investment house, Coleman & Co. Manshel is also publisher of another quarterly, The Public Interest. That magazine and Foreign Policy are both fi-nanced by National Affairs, Inc., a non-profit organization mainly supported by Manshel,

The cross-bencher role, athwart the beam of the foreign policy establishment, that foreign policy with what he the new journal seeks to fill, called "axioms of the postwar is illustrated by its managing era." editor, 30 year-old John Franklin Campbell.

Campbell is a U.S. Foreign Service officer, on an unusual clastic publication pledged to gimes, the distinction between stimulate informed "contro- the Communist bloe and the versies," about the conduct of Free World objectes more U.S. foreign policy.

Campbell just completed a that wants to plant burrs year's fellowship with the Council on Foreign Relations. which publishes Foreign Affairs. The older publication evidently looks on the new one with tolerance, rather than hostility, as it carried an arti-cle by Campbell in its latest issue and identified him as editer of Fereign Policy.

That Campbell article in Foreign Affairs perhaps best Policy is long and slim, about Foreign Affairs perhaps best the distinction is that all half the width of Foreign Affective marterly. The flavor of the articles are focused on half the width of Foreign At. exemptifies the reach of the articles are recorded fairs. Its editors hope it will new quarterly. The flavor of the articles are recorded be swallowed easier, but proposition was in its title, "What is to be done?"—a 1902 the new journal's editorial was readers more.

"What is to be done?"—a 1902 the new journal's editorial board: W. Michael Blumen-wastation by Russia's Louin T. (Philippiew Brzezinski, quotation by Russia's Lenin board: W. Michael Blumen-yet the proposed solution, to that, Zbigniew Brzezinski, deal with "gigantism in Wash. Richard N. Cooper, Richard A. ington" was not, as Lenin proposed, liquidation, but bureau-cratic surgery; Campbell urged slicing the size of the State Department in half by many old establishmentarias. many old establishmentarians, for more conventional reasons.

The editorial board of Foreign Policy, and most writers for its first issue generally represent a similar viewpoint: Critical, accelerated reexamination of American foreign policy goals and means to adapt to a world where U.S. power no longer dominates.

In the first issue, the most provocative off-beat article is "Cool It: The Foreign Policy of Young America;" by Prof. Graham Allison of Harvard.

Allison conducted interviews with about 100 "'elite' young Americans in the 25-to-34 age group" on the East and Wests Coasts, largely with establishment backgrounds, to compare their perceptions of

Among his findings as "the basic axioms of young Ameri-

cans" are these:
• "While there are impor-18-month leave of absence, tant differences between Comrunning an avowedly icono-munist and democratic re-

"Opposition to commu nism is a misleading guide for U.S. foreign policy . . . It is not clear why Communist Cuba is worse than free Haiti or Greece,"

o "The Soviet Union is an established, status quo-oriented power . . . Future relations between the U.S. and the Soviet Union will be more cooperative than competitive.

 "The United States has neither the power, nor the rcsponsibility nor the right to guarantee the defense of the Free World or to serve as the linchpin of international

Other articles in the new journal are generally more orthodox; many could have appeared in the older Foreign

Affairs by the same authors.
The distinction is that all

Approved For Release 2004/09/28 : CIA-RDP88-01314R000100540014-1